arXiv:1304.3993v2 [math.DG] 18 Apr 2013

A STUDY OF SUBMANIFOLDS OF THE COMPLEX GRASSMANNIAN MANIFOLD WITH PARALLEL SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORM

ISAMI KOGA AND YASUYUKI NAGATOMO

Abstract. We prove an extension of a theorem of A.Ros on *a characterization of seven compact Kaehler submanifolds by holomorphic pinching* [5] to certain submanifolds of the complex Grassmannian manifolds.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{C}P^n(1)$ be the n-dimensional complex projective space with the constant holomorphic sectional curvature 1 and M^m an m-dimensional compact Kähler submanifold immersed in $\mathbb{C}P^n(1)$. In [5] Ros proved that the holomorphic sectional curvature of M is greater than or equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ if and only if M has the parallel second fundamental form. Our goal in the present paper is to extend this resut to submanifolds immersed in the complex Grassmannian manifold.

Let $Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the complex Grassmannian manifold of complex p-planes in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Since the tautological bundle $S \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a subbundle of a trivial bundle $Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{C}^n \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we obtain a quotient bundle $Q \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$. This is called the universal quotient bundle. We notice the fact that the holomorphic tangent bundle $T_{1,0}M$ over $Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ can be identified with the tensor product of holomorphic vector bundles S^* and Q, where $S^* \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is the dual bundle of $S \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$. If $\mathbb{C}^n$ has a Hermitian inner product, $Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ has a standard metric of Fubini-Study type and S, Q have Hermitian metrics and Hermitian connections. In the present paper, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the complex Grassmannian manifold of complex p-planes in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with a standard metric h_{Gr} induced from a Hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and f a holomorphic isometric immersion of a compact Kähler manifold (M, h_M) with a Heremitian metric h_M. We denote by $Q \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ the universal quotient bundle over $Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of rank q. We assume that the pull-back bundle of $Q \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is projectively flat. Then the holomorphic sectional curvature of M is greater than or equal to $\frac{1}{q}$ if and only if M has the parallel second fundamental form.*

Remark 1.2. We can suppose that $p \geq q$ without loss of generality because $Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong Gr_{n-p}(\mathbb{C}^{n^*})$. In fact we can show that there is no immersion satisfying projectively flatness in the case that $p < q$. (See Remark 3.1.)

Remark 1.3. When $q = 1$, Theorem 1.1 is the same as a theorem of Ros [5]. In this case, $f^*Q \to M$ is projectively flat for any submanifold because $Q \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is of rank 1. The sufficient condition in our theorem is that the holomorphic sectional

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C07, 53C40, 53C55.

curvature is greater than or equal to 1, which is distinct from $\frac{1}{2}$ in a theorem of Ros. This is because we take a metric of Fubini-Study type with constant holomorphic sectional curvature 2.

2. Preliminaries

Let $Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the complex Grassmannian manifold of complex p-planes in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with a standard metric h_{Gr} induced from a Hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}^n$. We denote by S the tautological vector bundle over $Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Since $S \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a subbundle of a trivial vector bundle $\underline{\mathbb{C}^n} = Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{C}^n \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we obtain a holomorphic vector bundle $Q \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ as a quotient bundle. This is called the universal quotient bundle over $Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$. For simplicity, it is called the quotient bundle. Consequently we have a short exact sequence of vector bundles:

$$0 \to S \to \underline{\mathbb{C}^n} \to Q \to 0.$$

Taking the orthogonal complement of S in $\underline{\mathbb{C}^n}$ with respect to the Hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}^n$, we obtain a complex subbundle $S^\perp$ of $\underline{\mathbb{C}^n}$. As C^∞ complex vector bundle, Q is naturally isomorphic to $S^\perp$. Consequently, the vector bundle $S \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ (resp. $Q \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$) is equipped with a Hermitian metric h_S (resp.h_Q) and so a Hermitian connection ∇^S (resp.∇^Q). The holomorphic tangent bundle over $Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is identified with $S^* \otimes Q \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and the Hermitian metric on the holomorphic tangent bundle is induced from the tensor product of h_{S^*} and h_Q.

Let $w_1,\cdots,w_n$ be a unitary basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We denote by $\mathbb{C}^p$ the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$ spanned by $w_1,\cdots,w_p$ and by $\mathbb{C}^q$ the orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{C}^p$, where $q = n-p$. The orthogonal projection to $\mathbb{C}^p$, $\mathbb{C}^q$ is denoted by π_q, π_q respectively. Let G be the special unitary group $SU(n)$ and P the subgroup $S\left(U(p) \times U(q)\right)$ of $SU(n)$ according to the decomposition. Then, $Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong G/P$. The vector bundles S,Q are identified with $G \times_P \mathbb{C}^p$, $G \times_P \mathbb{C}^q$ respectively. We denote by $\Gamma(S)$, $\Gamma(Q)$ spaces of sections of S, Q respectively. Let $\pi_Q : \mathbb{C}^n \to \Gamma(Q)$ be a linear map defined by

$$\pi_Q(w) := [g, \pi_q(g^{-1}w)] \in G \times_P \mathbb{C}^q, \qquad w \in \mathbb{C}^n, g \in G.$$

The bundle injection $i_Q : Q \to \underline{\mathbb{C}^n}$ can be expressed by the following :

$$i_Q([g,v]) = ([g], gv), \qquad v \in \mathbb{C}^q,\ g \in G,\ [g] \in Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong G/P.$$

Let t be a section of $Q \to Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Since $i_Q(t)$ can be regarded as a $\mathbb{C}^n$-valued function $t : Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n) \to \mathbb{C}^n$, $\pi_Q d(i_Q(t))$ defines a connection on Q. This is nothing but ∇^Q.

Similarly, we can write a bundle injection $i_S : S \to \underline{\mathbb{C}^n}$ and a linear map $\pi_S : \mathbb{C}^n \to \Gamma(S)$:

$$\begin{aligned} i_S([g,u]) &= ([g], gu), && u \in \mathbb{C}^p,\ g \in G,\ [g] \in G/P, \\ \pi_S(w) &:= [g, \pi_p(g^{-1}w)], && w \in \mathbb{C}^n,\ g \in G. \end{aligned}$$

The connection $\pi_S d(i_S(s))$ on S is nothing but ∇^S.

We introduce the second fundamental form H in the sense of Kobayashi [1], which is a (1,0)-form with values in $\mathrm{Hom}(S,Q) \cong S^* \otimes Q$:

$$di_S(s) = \nabla^S s + H(s), \quad H(s) = \pi_Q d(i_S(s)), \qquad s \in \Gamma(S). \tag{2.1}$$

Similarly, we introduce the second fundamental form K, which is a (0,1)-form with values in $\mathrm{Hom}(Q,S) \cong Q^* \otimes S$:

$$di_Q(t) = K(t) + \nabla^Q t, \quad K(t) = \pi_S d(i_Q(t)), \qquad t \in \Gamma(Q). \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.1. *The second fundamental forms H and K satisfy*

$$h_Q(Hs,t) = -h_S(s,Kt), \quad s \in S_x, t \in Q_x,$$

for any $x \in Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Proof. See [1]. □

Lemma 2.2. *For a vector $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, let $s = \pi_S(w)$ and $t = \pi_Q(w)$. Then*

$$\nabla^S s = -K(t), \qquad \nabla^Q t = -H(s).$$

Proof. Since $i_S(s) + i_Q(t) = ([g], w)$, we have

$$0 = \pi_S\left(di_S(s) + di_Q(t)\right) = \nabla^S(s) + K(t).$$

Thus $\nabla^S s = -K(t)$. Similarly $\nabla^Q t = -H(s)$. □

Since H is a $(1,0)$-form with values in $S^* \otimes Q$, then H can be regarded as a section of $T^*_{1,0} \otimes T_{1,0}$.

Proposition 2.3. *H can be regarded as the identity transformation of $T_{1,0}$*

The unitary basis $w_1, \cdots, w_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ provides us with the corresponding sections

$$s_A = \pi_S(w_A) \in \Gamma(S), \quad t_A = \pi_Q(w_A) \in \Gamma(Q), \quad A = 1, \cdots, n.$$

Proposition 2.4. *For arbitrary $(1,0)$-vectors U and V, we have*

$$h_{Gr}(U,V) = \sum_{A=1}^{n} h_S(K_{\overline{V}} t_A, K_{\overline{U}} t_A) = \sum_{A=1}^{n} h_Q(H_U s_A, H_V s_A).$$

Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.4 were proved by the second author in [3].

Remark 2.5. From Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.4, we can express

$$g_{Gr}(U,V) = -\mathrm{trace}_Q H_U K_{\overline{V}} = -\overline{\mathrm{trace}_S K_{\overline{V}} H_U}. \tag{2.3}$$

Since any vectors in S_x (resp. Q_x) can be expressed by a linear combination of $s_1(x), \cdots, s_n(x)$ (resp. $t_1(x), \cdots, t_n(x)$), it follows from Lemma 2.2 that the curvature R^S of ∇^S and R^Q of ∇^Q are expressed by the following:

$$R^S(U,\overline{V})s_A = \nabla^S_U(\nabla^S s_A)(\overline{V}) - \nabla^S_{\overline{V}}(\nabla^S s_A)(U) = K_{\overline{V}} H_U s_A, \tag{2.4}$$

$$R^Q(U,\overline{V})t_A = \nabla^Q_U(\nabla^Q t_A)(\overline{V}) - \nabla^Q_{\overline{V}}(\nabla^Q t_A)(U) = -H_U K_{\overline{V}} t_A. \tag{2.5}$$

It follows from $h_{Gr} = h_{s^*} \otimes h_Q$ that the curvature R^{Gr} of the complex Grassmannian manifold can be expressed $R^{S^*} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_Q + \mathrm{Id}_{S^*} \otimes R^Q$. Thus we can compute R^{Gr} as follows :

$$R^{Gr}(U,\overline{V})Z = -H_Z K_{\overline{V}} H_U - H_U K_{\overline{V}} H_Z, \tag{2.6}$$

for $(1,0)$-vectors U, V, Z.

Remark 2.6. Let us compute the holomorphic sectional curvature of $Gr_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Since the quotient bundle over $Gr_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is of rank 1, then it follows from the equations (2.3) and (2.6) that

$$R^{Gr}(U,\overline{V})Z = -H_Z K_{\overline{V}} H_U - H_U K_{\overline{V}} H_Z. = h_{Gr}(Z,V)U + h_{Gr}(U,V)Z,$$

where U, V is (1,0)-vectors. Thus for any unit (1,0)-vector U we obtain

$$\mathrm{Hol}^{Gr}(U) = h_{Gr}(R^{Gr}(U,\overline{U})U, U) = h_{Gr}(2U, U) = 2,$$

where $\mathrm{Hol}^{Gr}(U)$ is the holomorphic sectional curvature of $Gr_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We have a short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles:

$$0 \to T_{1,0}M \to T_{1,0}Gr|_M \to N \to 0,$$

where $T_{1,0}Gr|_M$ is a holomorphic vector bundle induced by f from the holomorphic tangent bundle over $Gr_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and N is a quotient bundle. In the same manner as in the previous section, we obtain second fundamental forms σ and A of TM and N:

$$\nabla^{Gr}_U V = \nabla^M_U V + \sigma(U,V), \qquad U \in T_{\mathbb{C}}M,\ V \in \Gamma(T_{1,0}M), \tag{3.1}$$

$$\nabla^{Gr}_U \xi = -A_\xi U + \nabla^N_U \xi, \qquad U \in T_{\mathbb{C}}M,\ \xi \in \Gamma(N). \tag{3.2}$$

For each point $x \in M$, $\sigma : T_{1,0_x}M \times T_{1,0_x}M \to N_x$ is a symmetric bilinear mapping. This is called the second fundamental form of M. The second fundamental form $A : N_x \times T_{0,1_x}M \to T_{1,0_x}M$ is a bilinear mapping. This is called the shape operator of M. We follow a convention of submanifold theory to define the shape operator. Second fundamental forms σ and A satisfy the following formulas.

Formulas.

- $\sigma(\overline{U},V) = 0, \qquad A_\xi U = 0$
- $h_{Gr}\left(\sigma(U,V),\xi\right) = h_{Gr}\left(V, A_\xi \overline{U}\right)$
- $h_{Gr}\left(R^M(U,\overline{V})Z,W\right) = h_{Gr}\left(R^{Gr}(U,\overline{V})Z,W\right) - h_{Gr}\left(\sigma(U,Z),\sigma(V,W)\right)$
- $h_{Gr}\left(R^N(U,\overline{V})\xi,\eta\right) = h_{Gr}\left(R^{Gr}(U,\overline{V})\xi,\eta\right) + h_{Gr}\left(A_\xi\overline{V}, A_\eta\overline{U}\right)$
- $\left(\nabla_V\sigma\right)(U,Z) = \left(\nabla_U\sigma\right)(V,Z)$
- $\left(\nabla_{\overline{V}}\sigma\right)(U,Z) = -\left(R^{Gr}(U,\overline{V})Z\right)^\perp$

Note that the quotient bundle N is isomorphic to the orthogonal bundle $T_{1,0}^\perp M$ as a C^∞ complex vector bundle. The third, fourth and fifth formulas are called the equation of Gauss, the equation of Ricci and the equation of Codazzi respectively. From the equation of Codazzi,

$$\nabla\sigma : T_{1,0_x}M \otimes T_{1,0_x}M \otimes T_{1,0_x}M \longrightarrow N_x$$

is a symmetric tensor for any $x \in M$.

We assume that $f^*Q \to M$ is projectively flat. $f^*Q \to M$ is projectively flat if and only if

$$R^{f^*Q}(U,\overline{V}) = \alpha(U,\overline{V})\mathrm{Id}_{Q_{f(x)}}, \qquad \text{for } U,V \in T_{1,0}M_x,$$

where α is a complex 2-form on M. Since R^{f^*Q} is (1,1)-form, so is α. It follows from the equation (2.3) that

$$h_M(U,V) = \mathrm{trace} R^Q(U,\overline{V}) = q\cdot\alpha(U,\overline{V}).$$

Therefore, $f^*Q \to M$ is projectively flat if and only if

$$R^{f^*Q}(U,\overline{V}) = \frac{1}{q}h_M(U,V)\mathrm{Id}_{Q_{f(x)}}, \qquad \text{for } U,V \in T_{1,0}M_x. \tag{$*$}$$

Remark 3.1. It follows from the equation (2.5) that

$$R^{f^*Q}(U,\overline{V}) = -H_U K_{\overline{V}} : Q_x \longrightarrow S_x \longrightarrow Q_x.$$

Therefore, if an immersion f satisfies the equation $(*)$, the rank of S is greater than or equal to that of Q.

We denote by Hol the holomorphic sectional curvature of a Kähler manifold. By the equation of Gauss , if U is a unit (1,0)-vector on M, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hol}^M(U) = h_M(R^M(U,\overline{U})U,U) &= h_{Gr}(R^{Gr}(U,\overline{U})U,U) - \|\sigma(U,U)\|^2 \\ &= \mathrm{Hol}^{Gr}(U) - \|\sigma(U,U)\|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Under the assumption, for any unit* $(1,0)$*-vector* U *on* M *we have*

$$\mathrm{Hol}^{Gr}(U) = \frac{2}{q}.$$

Proof. Let U be a unit (1,0)-vector on M at $x \in M$. By the equation $(*)$, we have

$$-H_U K_{\overline{U}} = R^{f^*Q}(U,\overline{U}) = \frac{1}{q}\mathrm{Id}_{Q_x}. \tag{3.4}$$

It follows from equations (2.6) and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hol}^{Gr}(U) = h_{Gr}(R^{Gr}(U,\overline{U})U,U) &= -2h_{S^*\otimes Q}(H_U K_{\overline{U}} H_U, H_U) \\ &= \frac{2}{q}h_{S^*\otimes Q}(H_U,H_U) = \frac{2}{q}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *Under the assumption, for any* $(0,1)$*-vector* $\overline{V}$ *on* M *we have*

$$\nabla^M_{\overline{V}}\sigma = 0.$$

Proof. By the equation (2.6) and the equation $(*)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}R^{Gr}(U,\overline{V})Z &= -H_Z K_{\overline{V}} H_U - H_U K_{\overline{V}} H_Z \\ &= \frac{1}{q}h_{Gr}(Z,V)U + \frac{1}{q}h_{Gr}(U,V)Z.\end{aligned}$$

By the equation of Codazzi, we have

$$\nabla^M_{\overline{V}}\sigma(U,Z) = -(R^{Gr}(U,\overline{V})Z)^\perp = 0.$$

□

We use the complexification of Ros' lemma in [5].

Lemma 3.4. *Let* T *be a* (p,q)*-covariant tensor on an* m*-dimensional compact Kähler manifold* M*. Then*

$$\int_{UM} (\nabla T)(\overline{U},U,\cdots,U,\overline{U},\cdots,\overline{U})dU = 0,$$

where $UM = \{U \in T_{1,0}M \mid \|U\| = 1\}$.

Proof. We regard M as a $2m$-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with the almost complex structure J. If U is a (1,0)-vector on M, then there is a real vector X on M such that

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X - \sqrt{-1}JX), \quad \overline{U} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X + \sqrt{-1}JX).$$

Then we can define real k-covariant tensors K, L by the following:

$$2K(X_1,\cdots,X_k) = T(U_1,\cdots,U_p,\overline{U_{p+1}},\cdots,\overline{U_k}) + \overline{T(U_1,\cdots,U_p,\overline{U_{p+1}},\cdots,\overline{U_k})},$$

$$2L(X_1,\cdots,X_k) = \sqrt{-1}\{T(U_1,\cdots,U_p,\overline{U_{p+1}},\cdots,\overline{U_k}) + \overline{T(U_1,\cdots,U_p,\overline{U_{p+1}},\cdots,\overline{U_k})}\},$$

where $k = p + q$, $U_1, \cdots, U_k$ are $(1,0)$-vectors corresponding to $X_1, \cdots X_k$. Then T, K and L satisfy the following equation:

$$T(U_1, \cdots, U_p, \overline{U_{p+1}}, \cdots, \overline{U_k}) = K(X_1, \cdots, X_k) - \sqrt{-1}L(X_1, \cdots, X_k). \tag{3.5}$$

We get the covariant derivative of both sides of the equation (3.5):

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_{\overline{U}}T)(U, \cdots, \overline{U}, \cdots) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nabla_{X+\sqrt{-1}JX}K)(X, \cdots, X) \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}(\nabla_{X+\sqrt{-1}JX}L)(X, \cdots, X).\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Since the covariant derivative is linear, then

$$(\nabla_{X+\sqrt{-1}JX}K)(X, \cdots, X) = (\nabla_X K)(X, \cdots, X) + \sqrt{-1}(\nabla_{JX}K)(X, \cdots, X). \tag{3.7}$$

We use the original lemma of A.Ros [5].

Lemma 3.5 (A.Ros)**.** *Let T be a k-covariant tensor on a compact Riemannian manifold M. Then*

$$\int_{UM} (\nabla T)(X, \cdots, X)dX = 0$$

For a proof, see [5]. From equations (3.6), (3.7) and Lemma 3.5, we get the result. □

Proof of the Theorem. We define a (2,2)-covariant tensor T on M by

$$T(U, V, \overline{Z}, \overline{W}) = h_{Gr}\left(\sigma(U, V), \sigma(Z, W)\right), \tag{3.8}$$

where U, V, Z, W are (1,0)-vectors on M. Using the equation of Ricci and the equation of Codazzi, we obtain

$$(\nabla^2 T)(\overline{U}, U, U, U, \overline{U}, \overline{U}) = h_M\left((\nabla^2\sigma)(\overline{U}, U, U, U), \sigma(U, U)\right) + \|(\nabla\sigma)(U, U, U)\|^2.$$

Using the Ricci identity, we obtain

$$(\nabla^2\sigma)(U, \overline{U}, U, U) - (\nabla^2\sigma)(\overline{U}, U, U, U) = R^{\perp}(U, \overline{U})\left(\sigma(U, U)\right) - 2\sigma\left(R^M(U, \overline{U})U, U\right).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$(\nabla^2\sigma)(\overline{U}, U, U, U) = -R^{\perp}(U, \overline{U})\left(\sigma(U, U)\right) + 2\sigma\left(R^M(U, \overline{U})U, U\right).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla^2 T)(\overline{U}, U, U, U, \overline{U}, \overline{U}) &= -h_{Gr}\left(R^{\perp}(U, \overline{U})(\sigma(U, U)), \sigma(U, U)\right) \\ &\quad + 2h_{Gr}\left(\sigma(R^M(U, \overline{U})U, U), \sigma(U, U)\right) + \|(\nabla\sigma)(U, U, U)\|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

From the equatin of Ricci, we have

$$h_{Gr}\left(R^{\perp}(U, \overline{U})(\sigma(U, U)), \sigma(U, U)\right) = h_{Gr}\left(R^{Gr}(U, \overline{U})(\sigma(U, U)), \sigma(U, U)\right) + \|A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\|^2.$$

Using the second fundamental forms H and K of vector bundles, we can compute their equations more:

$$\begin{aligned}h_{Gr}\left(R^{\perp}(U, \overline{U})(\sigma(U, U)), \sigma(U, U)\right) &= h_{Gr}(-H_{\sigma(U,U)}K_{\overline{U}}H_U, H_{\sigma(U,U)}) \\ &\quad + h_{Gr}(-H_U K_{\overline{U}} H_{\sigma(U,U)}, H_{\sigma(U,U)}) + \|A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

In the following calculation, we extend (1,0)-vectors to local holomorphic vector fields if it is necessary.

Lemma 3.6. *The covariant derivative of R^{f^*Q} by a (1,0)-vector is identically zero if and only if $H_{\sigma(U,U)}K_{\overline{U}} = 0$ for any (1,0)-vector U.*

Proof. We have

$$\left(\nabla_Z R^{f^*Q}\right)(U,\overline{V}) = -\nabla_Z(H_U K_{\overline{V}}) + H_{\nabla_Z U}K_{\overline{V}} = -(\nabla_Z H)(U)K_{\overline{V}}.$$

Since we can easily show that $H_{\sigma(U,U)} = (\nabla_U H)(U)$, we obtain

$$-H_{\sigma(U,U)}K_{\overline{U}} = (\nabla_U H)(U)K_{\overline{U}} = \left(\nabla_U R^{f^*Q}\right)(U,\overline{U}) = 0.$$

□

It follows from the assumption that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\nabla_Z R^{f^*Q}\right)(U,\overline{V}) &= \nabla_Z\left(R^{f^*Q}(U,\overline{V})\right) - R^{f^*Q}(\nabla_Z U,\overline{V})\\ &= \frac{1}{q}\nabla_Z\left(h_M(U,V)\right)\mathrm{Id}_Q - \frac{1}{q}h_{Gr}(\nabla_Z U,V)\mathrm{Id}_Q\\ &= \frac{1}{q}h_{Gr}(\nabla_Z U,V)\mathrm{Id}_Q - \frac{1}{q}h_{Gr}(\nabla_Z U,V)\mathrm{Id}_Q = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where U, V, Z are (1,0)-vectors on M. Then it follows from the Lemma 3.6 and the equation (3.10) that

(3.11)
$$\begin{aligned}h_{Gr}\left(R^{\perp}(U,\overline{U})(\sigma(U,U)),\sigma(U,U)\right) &= h_{Gr}(-H_U K_{\overline{U}}H_{\sigma(U,U)},H_{\sigma(U,U)}) + \|A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\|^2\\ &= \frac{1}{q}\|\sigma(U,U)\|^2 + \|A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Using the equation of Gauss, we have

(3.12)
$$\begin{aligned}h_{Gr}\left(\sigma(R^M(U,\overline{U})U,U),\sigma(U,U)\right) &= h_{Gr}\left(R^M(U,\overline{U})U,A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\right)\\ &= h_{Gr}\left(R^{Gr}(U,\overline{U})U,A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\right) - \|A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\|^2\\ &= -2h_{Gr}(H_U K_{\overline{U}}H_U, H_{A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}}) - \|A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\|^2\\ &= \frac{2}{q}\|\sigma(U,U)\|^2 - \|A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the equations (3.11) and (3.12) with (3.9), we obtain

(3.13)
$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla^2 T)(\overline{U},U,U,U,\overline{U},\overline{U}) &= -\left(\frac{1}{q}\|\sigma(U,U)\|^2 + \|A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\|^2\right)\\ &\quad + 2\left(\frac{2}{q}\|\sigma(U,U)\|^2 - \|A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\|^2\right) + \|(\nabla\sigma)(U,U,U)\|^2\\ &= \frac{3}{q}\left(\|\sigma(U,U)\|^2 - q\|A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\|^2\right) + \|(\nabla\sigma)(U,U,U)\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

By integrating both sides of the equation (3.13), Lemma 3.4 yields

$$\frac{3}{q}\int_{UM}\left(\|\sigma(U,U)\|^2 - q\|A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\|^2\right)dU + \int_{UM}\|(\nabla\sigma)(U,U,U)\|^2 dU = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

From now on we assume that the holomorphic sectional curvature of M is greater than or equal to $\frac{1}{q}$.

Let us compute the first term of the left hand side of the equation (3.14). We define $\xi \in N$ as $\sigma(U,U) = \|\sigma(U,U)\|\xi$. Then we have

$$A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U} = \|\sigma(U,U)\| A_\xi \overline{U}.$$

We denote by τ the real structure on the complexification $T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ of the tangent bundle over M. Let $B := A_\xi \circ \tau$. B is an anti-linear transformation and satisfies the following equation:

$$h_{Gr}(BU,V) = h_{Gr}(BV,U), \qquad \text{for } U, V \in T_{1,0_x}M,\ x \in M.$$

If we regard B as a real linear transformation on the real vector space with an inner product $\mathfrak{Re}(h_{Gr})$, then B is a symmetric transformation. Thus B has the nonnegative maximum eigenvalue λ and we denote by e the corresponding unit eigenvector. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\lambda = h_{Gr}(Be,e) = h_{Gr}(A_\xi\overline{e},e) = h_{Gr}(\xi,\sigma(e,e)) \leq \|\sigma(e,e)\|.$$

It follows from the equation (3.3), the lemma 3.2 and the hypothesis that

$$\|A_\xi\overline{U}\|^2 \leq \lambda^2 \leq \|\sigma(e,e)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{q}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma(U,U)\|^2 - q\|A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\|^2 &= \|\sigma(U,U)\|^2(1 - q\|A_\xi\overline{U}\|^2)\\ &\geq \|\sigma(U,U)\|^2(1 - q\cdot\frac{1}{q}) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows from the equation (3.14) that

$$\|(\nabla\sigma)(U,U,U)\|^2 = 0.$$

Since $\nabla\sigma$ is a symmetric tensor, $\nabla\sigma$ vanishes.

Conversely, we assume that M has the parallel second fundamental form. From the equation (3.3) and the Lemma 3.3, it is enough to prove that $\|\sigma(U,U)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{q}$, where U is an arbitrary unit $(1,0)$-vector on M. Let T be a $(2,2)$-covariant tensor on M defined by the equation (3.8). Since the second fundamental form σ is parallel, T is also parallel and so $\nabla^2 T = 0$. It follows from the equation (3.13) that

$$\|\sigma(U,U)\|^2 - q\|A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\|^2 = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the equation (3.15) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma(U,U)\|^2 &= h_{Gr}\left(\sigma(U,U),\sigma(U,U)\right) = h_{Gr}\left(U, A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\right)\\ &\leq \|A_{\sigma(U,U)}\overline{U}\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\|\sigma(U,U)\|.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|\sigma(U,U)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{q}$.

□

References

1. S. Kobayashi, Differential geometry of Complex Vector Bundles, Iwanami Shoten and Princeton University, Tokyo (1987)
2. S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry Volume1 and Volume2,Wiley Classics Library, US (1996)
3. Y. Nagatomo, Harmonic maps into Grassmannian manifolds, a preprint
4. H. Nakagawa and R. Takagi, On locally symmetric Kaehler submanifolds in a complex projective space, J. Math. Soc. Japan 28 (1976), 638–667.
5. A. Ros, A characterization of seven compact Kaehler submanifolds by holomorphic pinching, Annals of Mathematics. 121 (1985), 377–382.

Faculty of Mathematics, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka, 819-0395, JAPAN
E-mail address: `i-koga@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`

Department of Mathematics, MEIJI UNIVERSITY, Higashi-Mita, Tama-ku, Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa 214-8571, JAPAN
E-mail address: `yasunaga@meiji.ac.jp`